

Detalle Proyecto

DATOS DEL PROYECTO DE INVESTIGACION			
Título:	EVALUATION OF CORONAL MICROLEAKAGE OF INTRA-ORIFICE BARRIER MATERIALS IN ENDODONTIALLY TREATED TEETH: A SISTEMATIC REVIEW		
Director del Proyecto:	MARIA JOSE BURBANO BALSECA		
Grupo de Investigación:	Grupo Ciencias de la Salud	Centro de Investigación:	Centro de investigación Ciencias de Salud
Fecha de inicio:	2023-01-12	Fecha de fin:	2023-12-14
Duración:	0 años	Total meses:	11 meses

Justificación:	Encontrar los mejores materiales y técnicas para la obturación de conductos
Línea de Investigación:	Ciencias Odontológicas
Relevancia Científica:	Encontrar la forma mas efectiva para la limpieza de conductos
Planteamiento del problema de Investigación:	Evaluar a nivel apical si los sistemas son los apropiados para un la limpieza apical optima
Objetivo General:	Como desinfectar en forma efectiva los conductos radiculares
Objetivos Específicos:	encontrar materiales efectivos para la no reinfección
Articulación con los objetivos del Plan Nacional de Desarrollo, de la región y de la zona de influencia local:	Es un plan de influencia local
Estado del Arte:	Próximo a ser publicado
Propuesta Metodológica:	Revisión bibliográfica

Referentes Bibliográficos:	<p>Khayat A, Lee SJ, Torabinejad M. Human saliva penetration of coronally unsealed obturated root canals. <i>J Endod</i> 1993;19:458-61. 2. Swanson K, Madison S. An evaluation of coronal microleakage in endodontically treated teeth. Part I. Time periods. <i>J Endod</i> 1987;13:56-9. 3. D'costa VF, Bangera MK, Kutty SM. Coronal seal in endodontics. <i>Int J Curr Res</i> 2017;9:49499-502. 4. Roghanizad N, Jones JJ. Evaluation of coronal microleakage after endodontic treatment. <i>J Endod</i> 1996;22:471-3. 5. Ray HA, Trope M. Periapical status of endodontically treated teeth in relation to the technical quality of the root filling and the coronal restoration. <i>Int Endod J</i> 1995;28:12-8. 6. Pisano DM, DiFiore PM, McClanahan SB, Lautenschlager EP, Duncan JL. Intraorifice sealing of gutta-percha obturated root canals to prevent coronal microleakage. <i>J Endod</i> 1998;24:659-62. 7. Tselnik M, Baumgartner JC, Marshall JG. Bacterial leakage with mineral trioxide aggregate or a resin-modified glass ionomer used as a coronal barrier. <i>J Endod</i> 2004;30:782-4. 8. Yamauchi S, Shipper G, Buttke T, Yamauchi M, Trope M. Effect of orifice plugs on peripapical inflammation in dogs. <i>J Endod</i> 2006;32:524-6. 9. Mah T, Basrani B, Santos JM, Pascon EA, Tjäderhane L, Yared G, et al. Periapical inflammation affecting coronally-inoculated dog teeth with root fillings augmented by white MTA orifice plugs. <i>J Endod</i> 2003;29:442-6. 10. Yavari HR, Samiei M, Shahi S, Aghazadeh M, Jafari F, Abdolrahimi M, et al. Microleakage comparison of four dental materials as intra-orifice [Downloaded free from http://www.jcd.org.in on Thursday, October 13, 2022, IP: 49.36.103.163] Mehta, et al.: Systematic review on evaluation of microleakage in intra-orifice barrier materials <i>Journal of Conservative Dentistry</i> Volume 25 Issue 6 November-December 2022 595 barriers in endodontically treated teeth. <i>Iran Endod J</i> 2012;7:25-30. 11. Aboobaker S, Nair BG, Gopal R, Jituri S, Veetil FR. Effect of intra-orifice barriers on the fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth-An ex-vivo study. <i>J Clin Diagn Res</i> 2015;9:C17-20. 12. Bhullar K, Malhotra S, Nain R, Bedi H, Bhullar R, Walia A. Comparative evaluation of intraorifice sealing ability of different materials in endodontically treated teeth: An in vitro study. <i>J Int Clin Dent Res Organ</i> 2019;11:14-9. 13. Sagar DK, Kumar DM. Comparative evaluation of three different materials as barriers to coronal microleakage in root filled teeth : An in vitro study. <i>Heal Talk</i> 2012;04:13-7. 14. Parveen N, Madhusudhana K, Suneelkumar C, Lavanya A. Comparison of micro leakage of zirconia induced glass ionomer and flowable composite as coronal orifice barrier materials-An in vitro study. ??? 2017;5:376-82. 15. Divya KT, Satish G, Srinivasa TS, Reddy V, Umashankar K, Rao BM. Comparative evaluation of sealing ability of four different restorative materials used as coronal sealants: An in vitro study. <i>J Int Oral Health</i> 2014;6:12-7. 16. Available from: https://prisma-statement.org/prismastatement/. [Last accessed on 2022 May 5]. 17. Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordId=226225. [Last accessed on 2022 May 26]. 18. Shindo K, Kakuma Y, Ishikawa H, Kobayashi C, Suda H. The influence of orifice sealing with various filling materials on coronal leakage. <i>Dent Mater</i> J 2004;23:419-23. 19. Baillón-Sánchez ME, González-Castillo S, González-Rodríguez MP, Poyatos-Martínez R, Ferrer-Luque CM. Intraorifice sealing ability of different materials in endodontically treated teeth. <i>Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal</i> 2011;16:e105-9. 20. Lee KS, Kim JS, Lee DY, Kim RJ, Shin JH. In vitro microleakage of six different dental materials as intraorifice barriers in endodontically treated teeth. <i>Dent Mater</i> J 2015;34:425-31. 21. Parekh B, Irani RS, Sathe S, Hegde V. Intraorifice sealing ability of different materials in endodontically treated teeth: An in vitro study. <i>J Conserv Dent</i> 2014;17:234-7. 22. Faraj BM, Mohammed HM, Mohammed KM; University of Sulaimani. Coronal sealing ability of copalite varnish with different intermediate restorations as an intra-orifice barrier in endodontically treated teeth. A comparative in-vitro study. <i>JSMC</i> 2013;3:89-95. 23. Ramezanali F, Aryanezhad S, Mohammadian F, Dibaji F, Kharazifard MJ. In vitro microleakage of mineral trioxide aggregate, calcium-enriched mixture cement and biodentine intra-orifice barriers. <i>Iran Endod J</i> 2017;12:211-5. 24. Kumar G, Dengre A. Comparative evaluation of different restorative material used as intra orifice barrier in preventing coronal microleakage: An in vitro study. <i>Int J Appl Dent Sci</i> 2018;4:89-91. 25. Gomaa MM, Ghulman MA. Evaluation of four materials as barriers to coronal microleakage in a novel intra-orifice cavity design. <i>Int J Clin Dent</i> 2013;6:149-60. 26. Available from: https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools. [Last accessed on 2022 May 5] 27. Ghulman MA, Gomaa M. Effect of intra-orifice depth on sealing ability of four materials in the orifices of root-filled teeth: An ex-vivo study. <i>Int J Dent</i> 2012;2012:318108. 28. Yavari H, Samiei M, Eskandarinezhad M, Shahi S, Aghazadeh M, Pasvey Y. An in vitro comparison of coronal microleakage of three orifice barriers filling materials. <i>Iran Endod J</i> 2012;7:156-60. 29. Malik G, Bogra P, Singh S, Samra RK. Comparative evaluation of intracanal sealing ability of mineral trioxide aggregate and glass ionomer cement: An in vitro study. <i>J Conserv Dent</i> 2013;16:540-5. 30. Salim B, Hassan N. Effect of different intra-Orifice barriers in endodontically treated teeth obturated with gutta-Percha. <i>IAJD</i> 2015;6:113-8. 31. Tapashetti S, Yeli M, Rao N. Comparison of intracoronal sealing ability of mineral trioxide aggregate and glass ionomer cement" an in vitro study. <i>IOSR J Dent Med Sci</i> 2016;15:87-91. 32. Available from: https://sites.google.com/site/riskofbias2tool/welcome/_robvis-visualization-tool/?Authuser=0. [Last accessed on 2022 May 5] 33. Hammad M, Qualthrough A, Silikas N. Evaluation of root canal obturation: A three-dimensional in vitro study. <i>J Endod</i> 2009;35:541-4. 34. Kontakiotis EG, Tzanetakis GN, Loizides AL. A 12-month longitudinal in vitro leakage study on a new silicon-based root canal filling material (Gutta-Flow). <i>Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod</i> 2007;103:854-9. 35. van der Sluis LW, Wu MK, Wesseling PR. An evaluation of the quality of root fillings in mandibular incisors and maxillary and mandibular canines using different methodologies. <i>J Dent</i> 2005;33:683-8. 36. John AD, Webb TD, Imamura G, Goodell GG. Fluid flow evaluation of Fuji Triage and gray and white ProRoot mineral trioxide aggregate intraorifice barriers. <i>J Endod</i> 2008;34:830-2. 37. Bayram HM, Celikten B, Bayram E, Bozkurt A. Fluid flow evaluation of coronal microleakage intraorifice barrier materials in endodontically treated teeth. <i>Eur J Dent</i> 2013;7:359-62. 38. Sauáia TS, Gomes BP, Pinheiro ET, Zai AA, Ferraz CC, Souza-Filho FJ. Microleakage evaluation of intraorifice sealing materials in endodontically treated teeth. <i>Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod</i> 2006;102:242-6. 39. Nepal M, Shubham S, Tripathi R, Khadka J, Kunwar D, Gautam V, et al. Spectrophotometric analysis evaluating apical microleakage in retrograde filling using GIC, MTA and biodentine: An in-vitro study. <i>BMC Oral Health</i> 2020;20:37. 40. Ayatollahi F, Tabrizizadeh M, Hazeri Baqdad Abad M, Ayatollahi R, Zarebiodoki F. Comparison of microleakage of MTA and CEM cement apical plugs in three different media. <i>Iran Endod J</i> 2016;11:198-201. 41. Lagisetti AK, Hegde P, Hegde MN. Evaluation of bioceramics and zirconia-reinforced glass ionomer cement in repair of furcation perforations: An in vitro study. <i>J Conserv Dent</i> 2018;21:184-9. 42. Zarean P, Zarean P, Ravaghi A, Zare Jahromi M, Sadrameli M. Comparison of MTA, CEM cement, and biodentine as coronal plug during internal bleaching: An in vitro study. <i>Int J Dent</i> 2020;2020:8896740. 43. Sahebi S, Moazami F, Sadat Shojaei N, Layeghnezhad M. Comparison of MTA and CEM cement microleakage in repairing furcal perforation, an in vitro study. <i>J Dent (Shiraz)</i> 2013;14:31-6. 44. Asgary S, Parirokh M, Eghbal MJ, Brink F. Chemical differences between white and gray mineral trioxide aggregate. <i>J Endod</i> 2005;31:101-3. 45. Coneglian PZ, Orosco FA, Bramante CM, de Moraes IG, Garcia RB, Bernardinelli N. In vitro sealing ability of white and gray mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) and white Portland cement used as apical plugs. <i>J Appl Oral Sci</i> 2007;15:181-5. 46. Storm B, Eichmiller FC, Tordik PA, Goodell GG. Setting expansion of gray and white mineral trioxide aggregate and Portland cement. <i>J Endod</i> 2008;34:80-2. 47. Jenkins S, Kulidj J, Williams K, Lyons W, Lee C. Sealing ability of three materials in the orifice of root canal systems obturated with gutta-percha. <i>J Endod</i> 2006;32:225-7. 48. Korkmaz Y, Ozel E, Attar N. Effect of flowable composite lining on microleakage and internal voids in Class II composite restorations. <i>J Adhes Dent</i> 2007;9:189-94. 49. Lokhande NA, Padmai AS, Rathore VP, Shingane S, Jayashankar DN, Sharma U. Effectiveness of flowable resin composite in reducing microleakage-An in vitro study. <i>J Int Oral Health</i> 2014;6:111-4. 50. Ahlberg KM, Assavanop P, Tay WM. A comparison of the apical dye penetration patterns shown by methylene blue and India ink in root-filled teeth. <i>Int Endod J</i> 1995;28:30-4. 51. Attar N, Turgut MD. Fluoride release and uptake capacities of fluoride-releasing restorative materials. <i>Oper Dent</i> 2003;28:395-402. 52. De Moor RJ, Verbeeck RM, De Meyer EA. Fluoride release profiles of restorative glass ionomer formulations. <i>Dent Mater</i> 1996;12:88-95. 53. Beckham BM, Anderson RW, Morris CF. An evaluation of three materials as barriers to coronal microleakage in endodontically treated teeth. <i>J Endod</i> 1993;19:388-91. 54. Zaia AA, Nakagawa R, De Quadros I, Gomes BP, Ferraz CC, Teixeira FB, et al. An in vitro evaluation of four materials as barriers to coronal microleakage in root-filled teeth. <i>Int Endod J</i> 2002;35:729-34. 55. Gjorgievskia E, Nicholson JW, Iljovska S, Slipper IJ. Marginal adaptation and performance of bioactive dental restorative materials in deciduous and young permanent teeth. <i>J Appl Oral Sci</i> 2008;16:1-6. 56. Balgi P, Katge F, Pradhan D, Shetty S, Rusawat B, Pol S. Comparative evaluation of micro-leakage of two newer glass ionomer cements in primary molars immersed in three beverages: In vitro study. <i>Ceylon Med J</i> 2017;62:184-8. 57. Ayna B, Celenk S, Atas O, Tümen EC, Uysal E, Toptancı IR. Microleakage of glass ionomer based restorative materials in primary teeth: An in vitro study. <i>Niger J Clin Pract</i> 2018;21:1034-7. 58. Pavuluri C, Nuvvula S, Kamatham RL, Nirmala S. Comparative evaluation of microleakage in conventional and RMGIC restorations following conventional and chemomechanical caries removal: An in vitro study. <i>Int J Clin Pediatr Dent</i> 2014;7:172-5. 59. Jafari F, Jafari S. Importance and methodologies of endodontic microleakage studies: A systematic review. <i>J Clin Exp Dent</i> 2017;9:e812-9. 60. Alani AH, Toh CG. Detection of microleakage around dental restorations: A review. <i>Oper Dent</i> 1997;22:173-85. 61. Camps J, Pashley D. Reliability of the dye penetration studies. <i>J Endod</i> 2003;29:592-4. [Downloaded free from http://www.jcd.org.in on Thursday, October 13, 2022, IP: 49.36.103.163] Supplementary Table 1: Search strategy Database Search strategy Number PubMed (((Intraorifice barrier OR Intraorifice plug OR Intra-orifice barrier OR Intra-orifice plug OR Intracoronal plug OR Intracoronal barrier OR double seal technique) AND (Dental microleakage OR Coronal Microleakage)) AND (Dye penetration test OR Methylene blue)) AND (Mineral trioxide aggregate OR MTA OR Glass ionomer OR GIC OR Composite) 4 EBSCOhost (((Intraorifice barrier OR Intraorifice plug OR Intra-orifice barrier OR Intra-orifice plug OR Intracoronal plug OR Intracoronal barrier OR double seal technique) AND (Dental microleakage OR Coronal Microleakage)) AND (Dye penetration test OR Methylene blue)) AND (Mineral trioxide aggregate OR MTA OR Glass ionomer OR GIC OR Composite) 1 Cochrane, Scopus, and Embase (((Intraorifice barrier OR Intraorifice plug OR Intra-orifice barrier OR Intra-orifice plug OR Intracoronal plug OR Intracoronal barrier OR double seal technique) AND (Dental microleakage OR Coronal Microleakage)) AND (Dye penetration test OR Methylene blue)) AND (Mineral trioxide aggregate OR MTA OR Glass ionomer OR GIC OR Composite) 0 Google Scholar (((Intraorifice barrier OR Intraorifice plug OR Intra-orifice barrier OR Intra-orifice plug OR Intracoronal plug OR Intracoronal barrier OR double seal technique) AND (Dental microleakage OR Coronal Microleakage)) AND (Dye penetration test OR Methylene blue)) AND (Mineral trioxide aggregate OR MTA OR Glass ionomer OR GIC OR Composite) 28 Supplementary Table 2: Reason for exclusion of articles Author Year Reason for exclusion Database Shindo et al. [18] 2004 Stereomicroscope not used; digital microscope was used PubMed Yavari et al. [10] 2012 Variation in method of testing microleakage PubMed Baillón-Sánchez et al. [19] 2011 Variation in method of testing microleakage PubMed Lee et al. [20] 2015 Stereomicroscope not used; digital image used PubMed Parekh et al. [21] 2014 Variation in dye used for testing microleakage PubMed Faraj et al. [22] 2013 Study was done on molar tooth Google Scholar Ramezanali et al. [23] 2017 Variation in dye used for testing microleakage Google Scholar Kumar and Dengre[24] 2018 Study was done on molar tooth Google Scholar Gomaa and Ghulman[25] 2013 Cavity design was modified EBSCOhost [Downloaded free from http://www.jcd.org.in on Thursday, October 13, 2022, IP: 49.36.103.163]</p>
Productos Esperados:	Artículo internacional
Impacto esperado sobre la colectividad:	Limpieza de los conductos en forma apropiada

RECURSOS HUMANOS			
Identificación	Nombre	Categoría	Teléfono
1714769666	MARIA JOSE BURBANO BALSECA	DIRECTOR	0987673963

RECURSOS ECONOMICOS		
Descripción	UHE (USD)	Institución Beneficiaria (USD)
		400
TOTAL	0	400

CRONOGRAMA		
Actividad	Fecha Inicio	Fecha Fin
Publicación artículo	2023-02-10	2023-11-24

INFORMACION ADICIONAL	
Tipo de investigación:	BASICA
Desarrollo experimental según el área del conocimiento UNESCO:	Ciencias
Disciplina Científica:	Ciencias naturales y exactas
Objetivo socioeconómico:	Salud
Ámbito geográfico:	Internacional
Articulación con los Objetivos de Desarrollo Sostenible (ODS):	Garantizar una vida sana y promover el bienestar para todos en todas las edades

OBSERVACIONES	